01			
02			
03			
04			
05			
06			
07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
80	ATS	EA	ITLE
09	DURIELL JAMES SIDERS,)	CASE NO. C09-1799-JLR-MAT
10	Plaintiff,)	CASE NO. CO9-1/99-JER-MA1
11	v.)	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	OFFICER D. PASCO, et al.,)	ATTOM TWIENT OF COUNSEL
13	Defendants.)	
14		<i>)</i>	
15	Plaintiff Duriell James Siders, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis (IFP) in this 42		
16	U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights case, submitted a motion to appoint counsel. (Dkt. 18.) Defendants		
17	oppose the motion. (Dkt. 19.) The Court, having considered the request, does hereby find		
18	and ORDER:		
19	(1) There is no right to have con	unse	el appointed in cases brought under § 1983.
20	Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request counsel to represent a party		
21	proceeding IFP, plaintiff has shown neither exceptional circumstances, nor an inability to		
22	articulate his claims pro se that would warrant the appointment of counsel at this time. See		
	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE -1		

Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 18) is DENIED. The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to the parties and to the (2) Honorable James L. Robart. DATED this 5th day of October, 2010. Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE -2